Feedback:

This regulation is an example of good legislation. It should not be modified since it is well balanced and fit with OLAF needs.

In article 11 par. 6 the words 'if any' should be deleted since the national authorities have the obligation to take action following art. 325 when reports are drawn up pursuant to the requirements of par. 2 of the same art. 11.

The supervisory committee should be abolished when the EPPO will be able to exercise the judiciary control on OLAF.

OLAF is a service of the Commission and is able to provide its Institution with the assurance that the fight against frauds is efficiently conducted following the obligations of the Treaty.

Should the operational tasks of OLAF be transferred to the EPPO then the single General Directorates will create their own little OLAF since as authorising officers they would have the obligation to submit to the EPPO a well motivated file and not simply raw material. We would go back to the situation before the creation of OLAF. Indeed the final responsibility to fight against frauds will always remain with the Commission so it cannot be deprived of a service created for this purpose.

As former experienced manager of funds and former financial controller in the Commission I firmly believe that OLAF role should not be weakened.